How Colby would revise CIA rules

By Robert P. Hey. Staff correspondent of The Christian Science Monitor

Washington Congress is expected here to provide the Dentral Intelligence Agency with the broad eforms sought by Director William E. Colby o ensure that the agency operates both effectively and within the framework of the J.S. Constitution.

Congressional sources - both inside and utside committees now investigating the CIA make clear that Congress itself seeks hanges similar to Mr. Colby's, which he roiced for the first time at a Wednesday, Nov. 2 breakfast with reporters: :

 Establish guidelines, through changes in xisting law, of what the CIA "can do and what it) can't do." Current law, says Mr. Colby, is Divague it lets the CIA do almost anything the Tational Security Council directs it to do.

A year ago Congress took the first step award limiting the CIA's wide-ranging powrs. It required all activities necessitivolving stelligence gathering to be specifically aproved by the President, who then had to form relevant congressional committees.

· Specifically, Mr. Colby seeks resolution of conflict between a law which prohibits the A from undertaking domestic intelligence athering, and one which requires the CIA's irector to protect CIA intelligence and ethods. This conflict, he says, "has raised me problems." Congressional sources

Director Colby specifically hopes Congress Il settle the question of "the proper limit of ≥ counterintelligence function" of the CIA is it to operate both at home and abroad? Or ly overseas?

Better supervision of CIA activities, both



Colby - will he get CIA reforms?

by Congress and the executive branch. In want to be informed of CIA activities. Similar the Constitution." allegations have been made that high officials of past presidential administrations took the same position. Supervision, in Mr. Colby's understated phrase, was "not very evident."

Republicans and Democrats in Congress say the Congress will keep closer supervision of the CIA now. Many in Congress want to establish a joint Senate-House committee. Others wish separate Senate and House groups to monitor closely the CIA and other intelligence gathering agencies, including the National Security Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

"Good external supervision by a proper committee of the Congress," says Mr. Colby, will create better internal supervision (within the executive branch) and will ensure that the CLA" operates as the government and the nation — wishes it to.

 Make it illegal for persons in government charged with keeping secrets to disclose them to unauthorized persons, such as reporters. There now is no law providing punishment for domestic leaks and other disclosures of secrets, he said.

Such a provision is contained in a wideranging legal bill now before the Senate, generally referred to as S-1; Mr. Colby strongly supports this provision. He says the CIA has been harmed by disclosures of secrets - both from Congress and the executive branch - during the current investigations of the agency.

"What's really happening" with the current question of some past CIA actions, says Mr. Colby, "is we are bringing intelligence into our constitutional structure." He offers the same perspective several members of Congress do-that in the early 1950s the cold war atmosphere dominated the views Congress and the nation took of intelligence gathering AP photo - and that they consequently did not want to know about it.

Since Watergate, Mr. Colby points out, recent months many members of Congress Americans and Congress "insist that our have conceded that in the past they did not intelligence operations be conducted within